



Seyfarth Shaw LLP

700 Milam Street  
Suite 1400  
Houston, Texas 77002-2812  
T (713) 225-2300  
F (713) 225-2340

jmcoleman@seyfarth.com  
T (713) 238-1805

www.seyfarth.com

September 16, 2021

**VIA CM/ECF**

Honorable Mary Kay Vyskocil  
United States District Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
500 Pearl Street, Room 2230  
New York, NY 10007

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 9/20/2021

Re: *Goureau, et al. v. Lemonis, et al.*; Case No. 1:20-cv-04691-MKV  
Letter motion requesting extension to respond to Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration.

Honorable Judge Vyskocil:

We represent Defendants Marcus Lemonis, ML Retail, LLC, and Marcus Lemonis, LLC (collectively, "ML Defendants"), in the above-referenced action brought by Nicolas Goureau and Stephanie Menkin (collectively, "Plaintiffs") against the ML Defendants and defendant Machete Corporation ("Machete") (ML Defendants and Machete, collectively, "Defendants"). We respectfully submit this letter-motion on behalf of all Defendants pursuant to Rule 2.G of Your Honor's Individual Rules of Practice in Civil Cases to request an extension of Defendants' deadlines to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. Nos. 79, 80) (the "Reconsideration Motion"), filed on September 16, 2021.

Defendants' current deadlines to respond to the Reconsideration Motion are September 30, 2021. Defendants seek an extension until **October 25, 2021**. Plaintiffs' counsel have advised that Plaintiffs do not oppose this request as long as their deadline to file replies in further support of the Reconsideration Motion is set for November 1, 2021.

Good cause exists for the requested extension. On August 31, 2021 and September 1, 2021, the Court extended Defendants' time to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File the Second Amended Complaint to October 18, 2021. Dkt. Nos. 72, 76. On September 2, 2021, the Court further directed that on or before October 5, 2021, Plaintiffs must "inform the Court whether they intend to rely on" their present Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint "and the proposed Second Amended Complaint therein, or whether they intend to request leave to file a revised proposed amended complaint that addresses the deficiencies identified in th[e] opinion" as to which Plaintiffs have now sought reconsideration. Dkt. No. 77 at 12-13. The Court further directed that Defendants respond to that October 5, 2021 statement "on or before October 18, 2021." *Id.* at 13.



Honorable Mary Kay Vyskocil

September 16, 2021

Page 2

Defendants believe that the issues raised in the Reconsideration Motion are intertwined with the issues raised in Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File the Second Amended Complaint, and that the Reconsideration Motion may be impacted by what Plaintiffs file on October 5, 2021 in response to the Court's September 2, 2021 order. Defendants believe that an extension of their time to respond to the Reconsideration Motion is warranted under these circumstances, particularly because Plaintiffs do not oppose the request as long as their time to file replies is set for November 1, 2021.

For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request that Your Honor extend their deadlines to respond to the Reconsideration Motion to and including October 25, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

*/s/ Jesse M. Coleman*


Jesse M. Coleman

JMC

cc: Counsel of record (via ECF)

**Granted. SO ORDERED.**

Date: 9/20/2021  
New York, New York

  
Mary Kay Vyskocil  
United States District Judge